


Q1 Do you agree with option 3 as the proposed funding formula and proposed funding rates for 2020-21?

Answered: 40 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	60.00%	24
No	40.00%	16
TOTAL		40


Q2 Please give any comments in relation to the above proposal in the box below.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 25

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	My previous experience within Trafford is that the option selected follows a vote at the funding forum. Option one on first view was more appealing to the Academy. Additional funding for high needs was provided in year during the previous year. The Government were reluctant to top slice in Trafford LA during the previous year and seemed to be against this practice but did approve it.	11/22/2019 2:56 PM
2	Whilst we understand the issues and fully support the funding of High Needs in the Borough, we have concerns that this will have a significant impact on our budgets for 2020/21. This will have an adverse effect on our efforts to eliminate our in-year deficit.	11/22/2019 10:36 AM
3	Option 3 involves a loss of £46k to Droylsden Academy	11/22/2019 9:49 AM
4	Option 3 gives Mossley Hollins an unacceptably low funding increase next year	11/22/2019 9:36 AM
5	There is no option really other than 3 but perhaps we could have had a few ways of achieving it and choose between them.	11/22/2019 7:11 AM
6	We support the additional funding into SEND to help pupils across the authority.	11/21/2019 5:04 PM
7	We have a moral obligation to help those in greatest need.	11/21/2019 9:50 AM
8	Option 2 preferred	11/20/2019 3:37 PM
9	The MFG is set at 1.84% or highest level proposed (must be between 0.5% and 1.84%) - as a safeguarding measure. There is no, or minimal, cap on gains - to maximise funding allocations to academies.	11/14/2019 2:56 PM
10	We opt for the following principles in order to maximise funding for use at schools discretion. The MFG is set at 1.84% There is no, or minimal, cap on gains - to maximise funding allocations to academies. There is no transfer of funds from the Schools Block to High Needs.	11/14/2019 9:46 AM
11	We opt for the following principles in order to maximise funding for use at schools discretion. The MFG is set at 1.84% There is no, or minimal, cap on gains - to maximise funding allocations to academies. There is no transfer of funds from the Schools Block to High Needs.	11/14/2019 9:45 AM
12	I find the whole consultation document confusing and contradictory; how can option 1 be an option if it isn't deemed to be workable? Its like offering an option that involves closing down half the schools! If it's clearly not workable, then it isn't an option.	11/14/2019 8:26 AM
13	School would prefer to retain its entire funding as has pupils requiring support for which no funding is available and which must be funded by the school itself. In addition school budgets remain challenging to balance and losing funding to support other services isn't acceptable.	11/13/2019 2:34 PM
14	The MFG is set at 1.84% or highest level proposed (must be between 0.5% and 1.84%) - as a safeguarding measure. There is no, or minimal, cap on gains - to maximise funding allocations to academies.	11/13/2019 2:26 PM
15	As a school, we would benefit from this as we access high needs funding.	11/13/2019 12:18 PM

Q3 Do you support in principle the LA in submitting a disapplication to the Secretary of State to transfer 1% from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block as per option 3?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	61.54%	24
No	38.46%	15
TOTAL		39


Q4 Please give any comments in relation to the above proposal in the box below.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I understand the circumstances. Similar occurred in Trafford over a year ago, this was voted against at a funding forum yet progressed against a vote.	11/22/2019 2:56 PM
2	Option 3 gives an increase in funding to Droylsden of below RPI	11/22/2019 9:49 AM
3	Our favoured option is option 1, even then staffing cuts will be required to achieve a balanced budget	11/22/2019 9:36 AM
4	We have no choice.	11/22/2019 7:11 AM
5	0.5% preferred	11/20/2019 3:37 PM
6	Schools are increasingly bearing the financial burden of High Needs within existing budgets. Added financial burden will be detrimental. There is no transfer of funds from the Schools Block to High Needs.	11/14/2019 2:56 PM
7	School would prefer to receive the funding direct to spend as necessary within our school on high needs as the use of this money to offset against deficits does not propose to use the funds on improvement to SEN services.	11/14/2019 9:46 AM
8	School would prefer to receive the funding direct to spend as necessary within our school on high needs as the use of this money to offset against deficits does not propose to use the funds on improvement to SEN services.	11/14/2019 9:45 AM
9	When looking at the way the funding has been broken down, it is not at all clear that the 1% is being fairly sequestered from each school. Further, if there are some schools who gain significantly and some who are projected to either not gain or worse, then should the 1% be applied universally? Is there not a need to apply human judgment to this issue?	11/14/2019 8:26 AM

Q5 If the Secretary of State will not approve a 1% transfer, do you support the 0.5% transfer from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block with Schools' Forum approval as per option 2?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.10%	25
No	35.90%	14
TOTAL		39

Q6 Please give any comments in relation to the above proposal in the box below.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 33

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Option 1 is preferred from Audenshaw School however this will follow a due process.	11/22/2019 2:56 PM
2	Our preferred option is option 1	11/22/2019 9:49 AM
3	Our favoured option is option 1	11/22/2019 9:36 AM
4	As explained above.	11/14/2019 2:56 PM
5	As point 4 above	11/14/2019 9:46 AM
6	As above in point 4	11/14/2019 9:45 AM
7	I can't answer either yes or no as I think the calculation is flawed	11/14/2019 8:26 AM


Q7 Please give the name of your school in the box below.

Answered: 35 Skipped: 5

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1		11/22/2019 2:56 PM
2		11/22/2019 2:36 PM
3		11/22/2019 2:16 PM
4		11/22/2019 1:22 PM
5		11/22/2019 12:11 PM
6		11/22/2019 11:36 AM
7		11/22/2019 10:37 AM
8		11/22/2019 9:49 AM
9		11/22/2019 9:37 AM
10		11/22/2019 8:37 AM
11		11/22/2019 7:59 AM
12		11/22/2019 7:46 AM
13		11/22/2019 7:11 AM
14		11/21/2019 5:07 PM
15		11/21/2019 5:06 PM
16		11/21/2019 5:04 PM
17		11/21/2019 1:54 PM
18		11/21/2019 11:38 AM
19		11/21/2019 9:50 AM
20		11/20/2019 3:38 PM
21		11/17/2019 3:36 PM
22		11/14/2019 2:56 PM
23		11/14/2019 2:02 PM
24		11/14/2019 11:39 AM
25		11/14/2019 9:46 AM
26		11/14/2019 9:45 AM
27		11/14/2019 9:28 AM
28		11/14/2019 8:27 AM
29		11/13/2019 2:37 PM
30		11/13/2019 2:26 PM
31		11/13/2019 12:18 PM
32		11/12/2019 1:59 PM
33		11/12/2019 11:10 AM
34		11/12/2019 10:29 AM
35		11/12/2019 9:37 AM

Q8 In what capacity are you responding to this survey?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Headteacher		55.26%	21
Governor		2.63%	1
School Business Manager		36.84%	14
Other (please specify)		5.26%	2
TOTAL			38

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Chief Financial Officer	11/22/2019 2:56 PM
2	Headteacher & School Business Manager	11/13/2019 2:37 PM